

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Claims 1, 2, 4 and 5 have amended appropriately to overcome the informalities pointed out by the Examiner.

The Examiner further pointed out that claims 1-5 were rejected
5 under 35 U.S.C 102(e) as being anticipated by Mihara et al (US
2003/0189762A1). However, applicant couldn't agree more on this,
because the second lens group G2 of the present invention is structurally
different from that of Mihara. As mentioned in claim 1 of the present
invention, the second lens group having positive glued-lenses and a
10 negative meniscus lens with a convex surface facing the object side.
However, Mihar discloses in its claim 1 that "the second lens group
comprises two lens components, i.e., an object side-lens component and
an image side-lens component, one of which is composed of a cemented
lens component consisting of a positive lens element and a negative lens
15 element and the other consists only of a positive single lens component".
That is to say that Mihar's second lens group has positive glued-lenses
and a positive meniscus lens Thereby, Claim 1 is thought to be patentable
over Mihara.

Claims 2, 4 and 5 are retained as they are dependent upon claim
20 1 which is thought to allowable.

Claim 3 has been cancelled.

Applicant respectfully request that a timely Notice of Allowance
be issued in this case.

Respectfully submitted,

5

By: Charles E. Baxley

Charles E. Baxley

Attorney of Record

10

USPTO Reg. 20, 149

90 John Street, Third Floor

New York, New York 10038

Telephone 212-791-7200

Facsimile 212-791-727